

**Table 2: National Performance Indicators for the Durham Authorities (for the period April to December 2008)**

PI ref	PI description	Good Performance	Durham County	CLS	Derwentside	Durham City	Easington	Sedgefield	Teesdale	Wear Valley	Whole County
14	% of customer contacts that are assessed as avoidable	Low	13.10%	11.53%	6.03%	10.30%	14.64%	17.17%	14.91%	16.58%	
154	Net increase in dwelling stock over one year	High		73	333	228	182	90	75	221	1,202
155	Number of affordable homes delivered (gross)	High		20	0	32	8	28	10	6	104
156	Number of households living in temporary accommodation	Low		2	6	2	4	4	0	12	30
157a	Processing of major planning applications within 13 weeks	High		80%	47%	42%	77%	69%	100%	77%	63%
157b	Processing of minor planning applications within 8 weeks	High		94%	71%	80%	80%	80%	46%	74%	77%
157c	Processing of other planning applications within 8 weeks	High		99%	86%	86%	91%	87%	79%	88%	88%
184	% of food establishments within the local authority area which are broadly compliant with food law	High		96.50%	80.86%	90.10%	79.00%	93.48%	No data	72.00%	84.70%
187i	% of households on income related benefits living in homes with high energy efficiency (SAP rating of below 35)	Low		3.65%	5.35%	6.00%	12.20%	3.54%		5.97%	9.46%
187ii	% of households on income related benefits living in homes with low energy efficiency (SAP rating meeting the standard of 65 or above)	Low		34.28%	34.77%	32.00%	59.00%	4.02%		33.19%	46.66%
191	Residual household waste per household (kg) – 1 Apr to 30 Sep	Low		360	281	344	389	344	329	351	420
192	% household waste sent for reuse, recycling or composting – 1 Apr to 30 Sep	High		21.39%	37.11%	25.03%	28.93%	22.15%	37.70%	22.55%	29.86%
195a	% of relevant land and highways that is assessed as having deposits of litter that fall below an acceptable level	Low		3%	9%	2%	7%	19%	2%	3%	N/a
195b	% of relevant land and highways that is assessed as having deposits of detritus that fall below an acceptable level	Low		9%	4%	6%	9%	29%	9%	3%	N/a
195c	% of relevant land and highways that is assessed as having deposits of graffiti that fall below an acceptable level	Low		5%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	N/a
195d	% of relevant land and highways that is assessed as having deposits of fly posting that fall below an acceptable level	Low		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

This page is intentionally left blank